UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,600	04/03/2007	Patrick Leahy	3994942	8346
23570 7590 09/02/2009 PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 41 SOUTH HIGH STREET			EXAMINER	
			BOOTH, MICHAEL JOHN	
28TH FLOOR	JH SIKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COLUMBUS, OH 43215			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,600	LEAHY, PATRICK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL J. BOOTH	3774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-17 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-17 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous continuous distributions.	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific specif	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 3774

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/05/2009 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive. Examiner carefully reviewed applicant arguments; however, the

examiner respectfully disagrees. With specific regards to arguments on pages 5 and 6,

the claim language as written uses broad terms that are open to broad interpretation, for

example, the limitation "substantially". Further, applicant continues to argue functional

language whereby the art only requires to possess the capability (or to be adapted to

enable the device to be secured to the stomach wall) to perform such function, and it is

the examiners interpretation that it does possess that capability. Thus, examiner must

maintain previous rejection set forth.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 18-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 05/05/2009.

Applicant's election without traverse of group I with respect to claims 1-17 in the

reply filed on 05/05/2009 is acknowledged.

Status of the Claims

The amendment filed 05/05/2009 hereby identifies the claims as follows:

Claims 1-4 and 6-17 are pending and stand rejected below.

Claims 5 and 18-24 are cancelled by applicant.

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Application/Control Number: 10/596,600 Page 3

Art Unit: 3774

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kilcoyne et al USPN 6,264,700 "Kilcoyne".

With respect to claims 1-3, 6-8, 9-12; Kilcoyne discloses a valve (20) that permits unidirectional flow and allows reverse flow when a pressure meets a certain threshold. (column 7, lines 18-26 and 40-56). The valve comprises a retention means (namely an anchor, 18) which secures the valve into a wall. The material used allows the valve to be flexible and collapsible (column 2, lines 39-48) and is biodegradable. Kilcoyne discloses a retention means, or more specifically a flange (namely an anchor, 18, which qualifies as a flange, which is a retention means) where the flange may contain an adhesive. (column 4, lines 16-32). Further, the flange defines a conduit, where the flange comprises apertures (38). The valve is to be positioned with the retention members against the wall (abstract) and is distensible due to the material used and is adapted to be clamped to the wall. The term "substantially" is interpreted broadly and it is the examiners position that the claim limitation is met, as broadly interpreted. The flange is disposed "substantially" circumferentially about the valve, and adapted to enable the device to be secured to the stomach wall, as it possesses the capability of performing the function.

Art Unit: 3774

With respect to claims 15-17; Kilcoyne discloses the claim limitations as claimed above; further, a dispensing or insertion means (namely a tubular introducer) which is an elongated tube adapted to receive and dispense or insert the device into the stomach. (column 10, lines 3-25).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kilcoyne as applied to claims 1, 11, and 12 above, and further in view of Taylor USPN 6,254,642.

With respect to claim 4; Kilcoyne discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kilcoyne does not disclose a mitral valve. Taylor discloses a mitral valve (column 6, lines 20-39) for the purpose of easier implantation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify Kilcoyne's valve with the teachings of Taylor with respect to the mitral valve in order to allow for easier implantation.

With respect to claims 13-14; Kilcoyne discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kilcoyne does not disclose a tether detachably engageable with the distensible element to allow the element to be drawn against the stomach, further with an inflatable balloon. Taylor discloses an inflatable balloon to allow the element to be drawn against the stomach wall (column 2 & 3) for the purpose of allowing the retention members (namely the anchors) engage with the wall during implantation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kilcoyne with an inflatable balloon in order to engage the retention members with the wall during implantation.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL J. BOOTH whose telephone number is (571)270-7027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,600 Page 7

Art Unit: 3774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. J. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3774 August 31, 2009 /William H. Matthews/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774